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CHAIRMAN GARVICK: We will call to order this meeting of the West Manheim Township Zoning Hearing Board. Let the record reflect we have one absentee tonight. We have four. So, we good. We have a quorum.

I think the first thing we need to do according to our agenda is re-organization for the next year.
(Whereupon, reorganization of the Zoning Hearing Board for 2020.)

CHAIRMAN GARVICK: And so, Mr. Zoning Officer, would you present the first case.

ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: Certainly.
Case SE and VA 01-01-02-2020. Applicant, Brunswick MOB LLC - application for a special exception from the West Manheim Township Zoning Ordinance, Article 1 General and Legal Provision, 270-7 uses not regulated and a variance from Article XIX, I guess that's 19, sign regulations and requirements 270-186S.

Applicant represents a new medical

| 19:03:59 | 1 | facility seeking relief from the above sections of the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:04:03 | 2 | Zoning Ordinance regarding (1) entrance door and window |
| 19:04:06 | 3 | signs and (2) a sign proposed to be attached on top of |
| 19:04:11 | 4 | a canopy at the entrance of the facility. The |
| 19:04:13 | 5 | Applicant requests relief regarding the nature, size, |
| 19:04:15 | 6 | and placement of proposed signs. |
| 19:04:17 | 7 | This particular property is located at |
| 19:04:19 | 8 | 2201 Brunswick Drive, Hanover, PA, West Manheim |
| 19:04:24 | 9 | Township. |
| 19:04:25 | 10 | Also, I add the property has been posted |
| 19:04:27 | 11 | and the hearing tonight has been legally advertised. |
| 19:04:31 | 12 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: All right. Thank |
| 19:04:32 | 13 | you, Marc. |
| 19:04:33 | 14 | Are the Applicants here this evening? |
| 19:04:38 | 15 | ATTORNEY FREY: Yes. |
| 19:04:38 | 16 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Who all is going to |
| 19:04:39 | 17 | be providing testimony? |
| 19:04:40 | 18 | ATTORNEY FREY: I'm going to provide an |
| 19:04:42 | 19 | overview, Mr. Chairman. And Mr. Stough will be here to |
| 19:04:46 | 20 | answer any additional questions. |
| 19:04:48 | 21 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: I'll swear you both |
| 19:04:49 | 22 | in. Please raise your right hand. |
|  | 23 | * * |
|  | 24 | JEREMY FREY, |
|  | 25 | called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant, |

            having been duly sworn according to law,
                    testified as follows:
                    JEFFREY STOUGH,
    called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant,
        having been duly sworn according to law,
                testified as follows:
                            CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Please give your name
    and address to the stenographer please.
ATTORNEY FREY: Jeremy Frey, 14 Center
Square, Hanover, Pennsylvania.
MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Jeff Stough, 207
Third Street, Hanover, Pennsylvania.
And these papers will be what's up there
as well.
CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER WENTZ: I was going to say, I
thought we had that.
MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Now, you have got
two.
ATTORNEY FREY: All right. Are you
ready?

CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Yes.

ATTORNEY FREY: I told our stenographer

| 19:05:41 | 1 | I would speak loudly so that she can hear me. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:05:44 | 2 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: You don't want to get |
| 19:05:46 | 3 | her mad. |
| 19:05:47 | 4 | ATTORNEY FREY: No. Rule Number 1, you |
| 19:05:48 | 5 | have got to keep her happy. |
| 19:05:50 | 6 | The Applicant this evening is Brunswick |
| 19:05:54 | 7 | MOB LLC. The property is located 2201 Brunswick Drive |
| 19:06:00 | 8 | in the CI Zoning District. This is the property where |
| 19:06:04 | 9 | they are constructing a new medical office facility for |
| 19:06:07 | 10 | UPMC. The request that we have this evening is rather |
| 19:06:13 | 11 | lengthy. I'm going to try to boil it down for you a |
| 19:06:16 | 12 | little bit. |
| 19:06:16 | 13 | It really has to do with approval of |
| 19:06:19 | 14 | signage. There are two signs at issue that we need the |
| 19:06:22 | 15 | Zoning Hearing Board's approval for. |
| 19:06:25 | 16 | The first is a sign which you can see on |
| 19:06:30 | 17 | the drawing that we have here on display -- go back to |
| 19:06:33 | 18 | the one you were on there -- is the outpatient center. |
| 19:06:38 | 19 | What we were referring to a canopy sign. If you go to |
| 19:06:42 | 20 | the picture of the entire building, you can see where |
| 19:06:45 | 21 | that sits over the canopy at the entrance of the |
| 19:06:49 | 22 | building. |
| 19:06:49 | 23 | The purpose obviously of the outpatient |
| 19:06:50 | 24 | center sign is to identify the location of the |
| 19:06:53 | 25 | outpatient center for vehicles coming to the building |


| 19:06:58 | 1 | whether they be emergency vehicles, whether they be |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:07:00 | 2 | patients coming in on their own or visitors, whatever |
| 19:07:04 | 3 | it might be. |
| 19:07:05 | 4 | But they are not advertisements, but |
| 19:07:07 | 5 | rather directional signs and identification signs so |
| 19:07:10 | 6 | the people know where to go when they come to the |
| 19:07:13 | 7 | building. |
| 19:07:13 | 8 | The second sign that's at issue today is |
| 19:07:19 | 9 | located on the door and is a building information |
| 19:07:21 | 10 | panel, which provides information. I think you can see |
| 19:07:26 | 11 | it hopefully in the drawings that you have there. |
| 19:07:31 | 12 | Trying to zoom in on it a little bit now. Information |
| 19:07:39 | 13 | as far as handicap accessibility. The fact there is |
| 19:07:47 | 14 | surveillance on the property and the fact that you are |
| 19:07:48 | 15 | not supposed to bring weapons into the building. |
| 19:07:51 | 16 | Again, the information that will be on |
| 19:07:53 | 17 | this sign is to help people as they approach the |
| 19:07:56 | 18 | building. |
| 19:07:56 | 19 | The first request that we have this |
| 19:07:59 | 20 | evening is for the canopy sign, which identifies the |
| 19:08:04 | 21 | outpatient center. That sign -- a canopy sign is |
| 19:08:08 | 22 | actually not identified. That specific type of sign is |
| 19:08:12 | 23 | not identified anywhere in the zoning ordinance. |
| 19:08:15 | 24 | In consultation with the township staff |
| 19:08:18 | 25 | and review of the ordinance, we are seeking a special |


| 19:08:20 | 1 | exception under Section 270-7 for a use that is not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:08:28 | 2 | otherwise regulated. When a use is not provided |
| 19:08:30 | 3 | anywhere in the ordinance, you can obtain a special |
| 19:08:33 | 4 | exception with the Zoning Hearing Board's approval in a |
| 19:08:36 | 5 | district where similar uses are permitted, provided |
| 19:08:39 | 6 | that you meet the criteria for that special exception. |
| 19:08:43 | 7 | We believe that we do satisfy that |
| 19:08:45 | 8 | criteria as follows: |
| 19:08:47 | 9 | The first criteria -- the proposed use |
| 19:08:52 | 10 | is equal or less than the uses that are permitted in |
| 19:08:57 | 11 | terms of the intensity of that use. |
| 19:09:00 | 12 | Here in this zoning district other signs |
| 19:09:05 | 13 | are permitted and approximately 28 square feet. This |
| 19:09:08 | 14 | sign is actually smaller than permitted signs. It |
| 19:09:11 | 15 | actually could be larger. So, we believe it is less |
| 19:09:13 | 16 | intense than -- less intensive than other permitted |
| 19:09:19 | 17 | uses. Canopy signs are signs that are customary uses |
| 19:09:22 | 18 | for this type use for a medical facility where you have |
| 19:09:24 | 19 | a canopy that people are going to be coming in under. |
| 19:09:27 | 20 | It is common to have that use or that sign above it. |
| 19:09:31 | 21 | And the sign is really there for |
| 19:09:33 | 22 | identification. It is not a commercial use or |
| 19:09:36 | 23 | commercial purpose. It is there for identification to |
| 19:09:38 | 24 | help with traffic flow and for people to identify when |
| 19:09:42 | 25 | they come in. I point out that it is interesting that |


| 19:09:44 | 1 | a canopy sign like this isn't identified anywhere in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:09:48 | 2 | the ordinance. When we have one on this building here |
| 19:09:50 | 3 | actually on the roof is a sign just like that. |
| 19:09:53 | 4 | But it isn't identified specifically |
| 19:09:58 | 5 | anywhere in the ordinance. |
| 19:09:59 | 6 | So, the second criteria is that uses |
| 19:10:02 | 7 | closely similar in external impact in character to the |
| 19:10:06 | 8 | permitted use are allowed in the zoning district. Here |
| 19:10:10 | 9 | the property sits within the CI District and permits |
| 19:10:15 | 10 | high intensity uses. A canopy sign is similar to other |
| 19:10:18 | 11 | identifying signs that are used for commercial centers |
| 19:10:20 | 12 | or structures, except its location on a canopy makes it |
| 19:10:25 | 13 | a sign that is not expressly provided for in the |
| 19:10:28 | 14 | ordinance. |
| 19:10:28 | 15 | Specifically, the canopy sign in keeping |
| 19:10:30 | 16 | with the pertinent standards contained in Section |
| 19:10:33 | 17 | 270-180 as the canopy sign will not create any |
| 19:10:36 | 18 | nonconformities with respect to the use or dimensions |
| 19:10:39 | 19 | of the property. The canopy will be located within the |
| 19:10:42 | 20 | existing setback line. The canopy sign does not |
| 19:10:45 | 21 | require any expansion of total building floor area to |
| 19:10:50 | 22 | accommodate the canopy sign. |
| 19:10:52 | 23 | The canopy sign will be placed directly |
| 19:10:54 | 24 | on the canopy and will not require any new structural |
| 19:10:58 | 25 | components to accommodate a sign that we are already |


| 19:10:59 | 1 | approved with respect to land development plan in Phase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:11:02 | 2 | I of this building. |
| 19:11:03 | 3 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Mr. Frey, just to |
| 19:11:05 | 4 | interrupt for a second. The handout that Mr. Stough |
| 19:11:08 | 5 | gave to us, does this contain any information or any |
| 19:11:12 | 6 | changes other than that we received earlier? Are they |
| 19:11:20 | 7 | the same? |
| 19:11:22 | 8 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: They are the same. |
| 19:11:22 | 9 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Nothing different on |
| 19:11:23 | 10 | this one? |
| 19:11:26 | 11 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: No. |
| 19:11:26 | 12 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Thank you. |
| 19:11:27 | 13 | ATTORNEY FREY: I see why you are asking |
| 19:11:28 | 14 | that because the one you have is larger than the one we |
| 19:11:32 | 15 | just gave you. |
| 19:11:33 | 16 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: And color. |
| 19:11:34 | 17 | ATTORNEY FREY: The proposed use will |
| 19:11:35 | 18 | also meet the standards that apply for special |
| 19:11:38 | 19 | exception uses. Those standards set forth in Section |
| 19:11:41 | 20 | 270-233 of the ordinance and are as follows: |
| 19:11:44 | 21 | The proposed use shall be consistent |
| 19:11:46 | 22 | with the purpose of the zoning district and intent of |
| 19:11:49 | 23 | the chapter. The canopy sign, although not |
| 19:11:51 | 24 | contemplated in the zoning ordinance, is a sign, as I |
| 19:11:54 | 25 | said before, customary for a medical office building, |


| 19:11:58 | 1 | which is a use permitted by right in the CI District. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:12:02 | 2 | In other words, the use is permitted in |
| 19:12:04 | 3 | that district for the medical office building. It is |
| 19:12:07 | 4 | common that a sign like this would go with the |
| 19:12:09 | 5 | building. Therefore, it does fit within the district. |
| 19:12:13 | 6 | The canopy sign will meet the noise and |
| 19:12:16 | 7 | lighting requirements of the zoning ordinance to |
| 19:12:17 | 8 | prevent noise, glare, vibrations, and other |
| 19:12:21 | 9 | disturbances to the surrounding neighborhood. |
| 19:12:24 | 10 | The proposed use shall not detract from |
| 19:12:26 | 11 | the use of adjoining and abutting adjacent and nearby |
| 19:12:27 | 12 | properties. The canopy sign aligns with the commercial |
| 19:12:30 | 13 | neighbor -- I am sorry, the nature of the surrounding |
| 19:12:32 | 14 | area and will not detract from the use or enjoyment of |
| 19:12:37 | 15 | neighboring properties. |
| 19:12:37 | 16 | In fact, the canopy sign will assists |
| 19:12:39 | 17 | motorist and emergency vehicles in identifying the main |
| 19:12:43 | 18 | entrance of the building during the medical emergencies |
| 19:12:45 | 19 | and, therefore, facilitating the flow of traffic and |
| 19:12:48 | 20 | enhances public safety. |
| 19:12:50 | 21 | The third criteria, the proposed use |
| 19:12:52 | 22 | will not substantially change the character of the |
| 19:12:52 | 23 | subject property's neighborhood. Here the property is |
| 19:12:56 | 24 | located in a commercial area. The canopy sign is |
| 19:12:58 | 25 | typical for a medical office building in a commercial |


| 19:13:01 | 1 | area. It will not create conditions that will change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:13:04 | 2 | the character of the surrounding neighborhood. |
| 19:13:06 | 3 | And the next criteria, is it adequate |
| 19:13:08 | 4 | public facilities are available to serve the proposed |
| 19:13:11 | 5 | use. The canopy sign is not required the type of |
| 19:13:15 | 6 | facilities that are contemplated by that requirement. |
| 19:13:18 | 7 | And it is not located in the flood plane. So, those |
| 19:13:21 | 8 | sections don't apply either. |
| 19:13:22 | 9 | So, we believe we satisfy the criteria |
| 19:13:27 | 10 | for the special exception set forth in the ordinance. |
| 19:13:30 | 11 | The final one being that the proposed |
| 19:13:32 | 12 | use is not specifically prohibited in that zoning |
| 19:13:34 | 13 | district and the canopy sign is not specifically |
| 19:13:37 | 14 | prohibited in the CI District. It is neither expressly |
| 19:13:40 | 15 | prohibited or permitted. Therefore, we believe the |
| 19:13:43 | 16 | special exception should be granted to allow the canopy |
| 19:13:45 | 17 | sign. |
| 19:13:46 | 18 | Now, our next request pertains to that |
| 19:13:51 | 19 | canopy sign as well. What I would propose and I did |
| 19:13:55 | 20 | discuss with your solicitor and he can elaborate on |
| 19:13:59 | 21 | this. Is that I know the Board would typically ask |
| 19:14:05 | 22 | that we provide all of our request at one time and you |
| 19:14:08 | 23 | can render your decision then. Some of our other |
| 19:14:10 | 24 | request won't be necessary if the special exception |
| 19:14:13 | 25 | isn't granted. |


| 19:14:14 | 1 | So, for everyone's benefit I think it |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:14:17 | 2 | would be make sense, if the Board is willing, to issue |
| 19:14:20 | 3 | a decision ask whatever questions you may need of Mr. |
| 19:14:24 | 4 | Stough on the special exception request, and then move |
| 19:14:27 | 5 | to the other criteria that we will the other requests |
| 19:14:29 | 6 | that we will for the variance and interpretation as |
| 19:14:29 | 7 | well. |
| 19:14:37 | 8 | And to further explain what $I$ am saying, |
| 19:14:39 | 9 | then the next request we would have is an |
| 19:14:41 | 10 | interpretation that the ordinance has language in it -- |
| 19:14:46 | 11 | the sign ordinance has language in it, which |
| 19:14:49 | 12 | prohibits -- doesn't allow signs to extend above the |
| 19:14:53 | 13 | roof of a building. |
| 19:14:54 | 14 | So, we are asking for an interpretation |
| 19:14:59 | 15 | if that doesn't apply here. Because we are getting |
| 19:15:01 | 16 | this pursuant to the special exception section of the |
| 19:15:04 | 17 | ordinance and not the sign ordinance. |
| 19:15:06 | 18 | So, the Board has the ability to attach |
| 19:15:08 | 19 | whatever conditions it might want, which may be all of |
| 19:15:12 | 20 | the criteria that are in the sign ordinance other than |
| 19:15:14 | 21 | that one specific one. If you did determine that the |
| 19:15:20 | 22 | sign ordinance provisions are applicable, and then we |
| 19:15:23 | 23 | would request a variance on that. |
| 19:15:25 | 24 | But all of that is unnecessary if the |
| 19:15:28 | 25 | special exception is not approved. |


| 19:15:34 | 1 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: All right. Thank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:15:35 | 2 | you. Thank you very much. |
| 19:15:36 | 3 | I guess I read your addendum regarding |
| 19:15:39 | 4 | the interpretation and in there you had indicated that |
| 19:15:43 | 5 | the zoning ordinance intended the use is not regulated |
| 19:15:47 | 6 | under the Zoning Ordinance be permitted pursuant to |
| 19:15:50 | 7 | Section 270-7. But in my Section $270-7$ states that the |
| 19:15:58 | 8 | use clearly is not permitted by right as a special |
| 19:16:01 | 9 | exception or a conditional use. The use is prohibited. |
| 19:16:03 | 10 | You would agree to that? |
| 19:16:10 | 11 | ATTORNEY FREY: Say that again? I don't |
| 19:16:11 | 12 | have the ordinance in front of me actually. |
| 19:16:13 | 13 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Your addendum |
| 19:16:15 | 14 | indicates that our ordinance intended that use is not |
| 19:16:18 | 15 | regulated under the Zoning Ordinance be permitted. And |
| 19:16:21 | 16 | I'm reading out of the ordinance that says if a use |
| 19:16:25 | 17 | clearly is not permitted by right as a special |
| 19:16:29 | 18 | exception use or as a conditional use, then the use is |
| 19:16:32 | 19 | prohibited, except that the Zoning Hearing Board could |
| 19:16:35 | 20 | apply a special exception. |
| 19:16:37 | 21 | ATTORNEY FREY: Right. Correct. |
| 19:16:39 | 22 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: I was just trying to |
| 19:16:40 | 23 | clear up the language in here. If you were under the |
| 19:16:45 | 24 | impression that because specifically spelled out, then |
| 19:16:48 | 25 | it is automatically permitted. |


| 19:16:50 | 1 | ATTORNEY FREY: No. No. We need the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:16:51 | 2 | special exception. |
| 19:16:52 | 3 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Yes. And to me that |
| 19:16:53 | 4 | answers the interpretation question as far as, Jay, you |
| 19:16:58 | 5 | might have something else you want to -- |
| 19:17:06 | 6 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: Well, you are saying |
| 19:17:07 | 7 | that -- well, how do you think that it answers the |
| 19:17:12 | 8 | interpretation? |
| 19:17:13 | 9 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: I think it definitely |
| 19:17:15 | 10 | needs a special exception in order to get granted. |
| 19:17:17 | 11 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: If a special |
| 19:17:18 | 12 | exception is granted for this? |
| 19:17:21 | 13 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. |
| 19:17:22 | 14 | $A T T O R N E Y$ KALASNIK: Then you move to the |
| 19:17:23 | 15 | interpretation. |
| 19:17:25 | 16 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: That is what I just |
| 19:17:25 | 17 | want to make sure we are clear on that. That your |
| 19:17:30 | 18 | opinion, I guess, if you don't get the special |
| 19:17:32 | 19 | exception, then you want the interpretation as to why |
| 19:17:36 | 20 | you didn't get it. |
| 19:17:38 | 21 | ATTORNEY FREY: No, if we get the |
| 19:17:39 | 22 | special exception, then we have to get either an |
| 19:17:43 | 23 | interpretation that the language that says we can't |
| 19:17:48 | 24 | have that sign sit above the roof, we would want an |
| 19:17:52 | 25 | interpretation if that doesn't apply because that comes |


| 19:17:55 | 1 | from a different section of the ordinance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:17:56 | 2 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. |
| 19:17:57 | 3 | ATTORNEY FREY: And if you said, no, |
| 19:17:58 | 4 | that apply and then we request a variance from that |
| 19:18:02 | 5 | section. But all of that is moot if you don't approve |
| 19:18:04 | 6 | the special exception. |
| 19:18:10 | 7 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Got you. |
| 19:18:20 | 8 | ATTORNEY FREY: But you are the Board |
| 19:18:21 | 9 | and if you would like me to continue to roll on and you |
| 19:18:25 | 10 | can issue all the rulings at one time, you can do that. |
| 19:18:25 | 11 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Well, would the |
| 19:18:26 | 12 | solicitor rather we address them as we go down the |
| 19:18:29 | 13 | line? |
| 19:18:30 | 14 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: I think it makes |
| 19:18:31 | 15 | sense to deal with the threshold issue. That could |
| 19:18:35 | 16 | potentially save everyone a lot of time and effort. I |
| 19:18:38 | 17 | think that's an important threshold. I think it is |
| 19:18:42 | 18 | worthwhile to decide the initial special exception |
| 19:18:46 | 19 | request first. And it is certainly permissible to do |
| 19:18:49 | 20 | it that way. |
| 19:18:55 | 21 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Well, I for one think |
| 19:18:56 | 22 | the canopy sign is probably a good idea because I have |
| 19:19:00 | 23 | driven around the Hillside Medical Center two or three |
| 19:19:03 | 24 | times trying to find out what is where and it is very |
| 19:19:06 | 25 | poorly marked. |


| 19:19:07 | 1 | So, I agree with you the importance -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:19:10 | 2 | ATTORNEY FREY: That's why we are trying |
| 19:19:11 | 3 | to fix it. |
| 19:19:12 | 4 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: -- importance to have |
| 19:19:13 | 5 | facilities like this clearly marked. So, that's |
| 19:19:16 | 6 | just -- |
| 19:19:18 | 7 | MEMBER WENTZ: The canopy just went up |
| 19:19:20 | 8 | there recently. It wasn't there when we first got our |
| 19:19:23 | 9 | information. I don't think. Was it? |
| 19:19:25 | 10 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: It has been up |
| 19:19:27 | 11 | there several months now the canopy. There is two |
| 19:19:29 | 12 | canopies. So, this directs outpatients to the correct |
| 19:19:34 | 13 | entrance. |
| 19:19:35 | 14 | MEMBER WENTZ: The front? |
| 19:19:37 | 15 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Yes. The one on |
| 19:19:38 | 16 | the left is not supposed to be used for public use. |
| 19:19:40 | 17 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: I guess I -- the |
| 19:19:42 | 18 | other thing we might want to address on here is the |
| 19:19:44 | 19 | comment on your drawing that the canopy or the assigned |
| 19:19:51 | 20 | is an estimate. Let me find the page. The dimensions |
| 19:20:02 | 21 | of the letters is an estimate. |
| 19:20:06 | 22 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Yes, this is an old |
| 19:20:07 | 23 | drawing. |
| 19:20:07 | 24 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: So, what you are |
| 19:20:08 | 25 | showing on here 21 feet 4 inches by 16 inches. You are |


| 19:20:12 | 1 | saying that might not be what eventually goes up. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:20:17 | 2 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: This is an old |
| 19:20:18 | 3 | drawing they did several months ago. They are in the |
| 19:20:21 | 4 | process of making all of the signs right now. They are |
| 19:20:24 | 5 | looking to open up in May. So, they are sticking to |
| 19:20:28 | 6 | these sizes. |
| 19:20:30 | 7 | ATTORNEY FREY: So, it is correct? |
| 19:20:31 | 8 | MR. STOUGH: So, it is a correct size. |
| 19:20:31 | 9 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: So, these are |
| 19:20:32 | 10 | correct? |
| 19:20:34 | 11 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: These are correct. |
| 19:20:35 | 12 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19:20:36 | 13 | That answers that. |
| 19:20:45 | 14 | Would that same logic apply to the other |
| 19:20:50 | 15 | request that you are going to be giving us as far as |
| 19:20:51 | 16 | the door signs and things like that because I think -- |
| 19:20:54 | 17 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: You only have one |
| 19:20:55 | 18 | other sign. That's it. All the rest have been |
| 19:20:59 | 19 | permitted. |
| 19:20:59 | 20 | ATTORNEY FREY: On the informational |
| 19:21:01 | 21 | sign at the time we submitted the application, we |
| 19:21:04 | 22 | weren't sure what sizes. |
| 19:21:05 | 23 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: I'll give you that |
| 19:21:06 | 24 | size when we get to that sign. There is only one other |
| 19:21:09 | 25 | sign. |


| 19:21:09 | 1 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. Does my Board |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:21:12 | 2 | have any questions? |
| 19:21:13 | 3 | Now, I presume, Jay, we have to ask for |
| 19:21:24 | 4 | public comment for each of these? |
| 19:21:28 | 5 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: Yes. |
| 19:21:28 | 6 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Is there anything |
| 19:21:29 | 7 | else you have to present on this particular issue? |
| 19:21:31 | 8 | ATTORNEY FREY: Not on the special |
| 19:21:33 | 9 | exception for the canopy sign, no. |
| 19:21:37 | 10 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Is there anyone in |
| 19:21:38 | 11 | the audience who wishes to come forward and provide any |
| 19:21:41 | 12 | testimony regarding the special exception the canopy |
| 19:21:46 | 13 | sign dimension size? |
| 19:21:48 | 14 | Ma'am, you have to come up and be sworn |
| 19:21:58 | 15 | in. Sorry, that's the procedure. |
| 19:22:04 | 16 | MS. MELINA OBERLANDER: Just for a |
| 19:22:04 | 17 | question, okay. |
| 19:22:05 | 18 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. Would you |
| 19:22:06 | 19 | please raise your right hand. |
| 19:22:07 | 20 | * * |
|  | 21 | MELINA OBERLANDER, |
|  | 22 | called as a witness on her own behalf, |
|  | 23 | having been duly sworn according to law, |
|  | 24 | testified as follows: |
|  | 25 | * * * |


| 19:22:13 | 1 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Please give your name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:22:14 | 2 | and address to the stenographer. |
| 19:22:17 | 3 | MS. MELINA OBERLANDER: Melina, |
| 19:22:17 | 4 | M-E-L-I-N-A, Oberlander, 158 Sunset Drive. |
| 19:22:24 | 5 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Yes, ma'am. |
| 19:22:26 | 6 | MRS. OBERLANDER: I have a question. |
| 19:22:26 | 7 | When you say the sign is going to go above the |
| 19:22:29 | 8 | building, is it going to be like above the roof or just |
| 19:22:36 | 9 | lots of canopies -- |
| 19:22:40 | 10 | ATTORNEY FREY: That a good question. |
| 19:22:40 | 11 | It is going to be above the canopy. Not the roof of |
| 19:22:42 | 12 | the building. |
| 19:22:42 | 13 | MS. MELINA OBERLANDER: That is what I |
| 19:22:45 | 14 | was trying to work out. You said above the roof and |
| 19:22:46 | 15 | that looks really high and bright. |
| 19:22:49 | 16 | ATTORNEY FREY: That's where our next |
| 19:22:50 | 17 | request comes in is that the ordinance has language |
| 19:22:51 | 18 | that says you can't put a sign above the roof. This |
| 19:22:54 | 19 | isn't really what it was designed to be there for. It |
| 19:22:58 | 20 | met like on top of the roof. A giant sign. |
| 19:23:03 | 21 | MRS. OBERLANDER: Okay. That's what I |
| 19:23:03 | 22 | was hoping. |
| 19:23:04 | 23 | ATTORNEY FREY: That's on top of the |
| 19:23:05 | 24 | canopy. |
| 19:23:06 | 25 | MEMBER WENTZ: While she is there, are |


| 19:23:08 | 1 | we within limitations of the building height itself of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:23:13 | 2 | what the ordinance permits? |
| 19:23:16 | 3 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: Yeah, the |
| 19:23:16 | 4 | permit has been permitted. |
| 19:23:24 | 5 | MEMBER WENTZ: Good. That should take |
| 19:23:25 | 6 | that question out of your mind. |
| 19:23:25 | 7 | MS. MELINA OBERLANDER: Yeah, it looks |
| 19:23:25 | 8 | like that right, but when you said above the building. |
| 19:23:25 | 9 | I was like -- |
| 19:23:27 | 10 | ATTORNEY FREY: What 1 was referring to |
| 19:23:28 | 11 | actually that we are not allowed to put it above the |
| 19:23:31 | 12 | building. We will get into that in a little bit. |
| 19:23:35 | 13 | MS. MELINA OBERLANDER: I appreciate |
| 19:23:35 | 14 | that. Thank you. |
| 19:23:36 | 15 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: You are welcome. |
| 19:23:37 | 16 | Okay. If there is no other discussion |
| 19:23:40 | 17 | on the special exception issue, I'll entertain a |
| 19:23:48 | 18 | motion. |
| 19:23:49 | 19 | MEMBER WENTZ: I move that we approve |
| 19:23:49 | 20 | this particular part of the question that we are here |
| 19:23:52 | 21 | for tonight and what we have been discussing. |
| 19:23:55 | 22 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: The special exception |
| 19:23:56 | 23 | on the canopy sign? |
| 19:23:57 | 24 | MEMBER WENTZ: Right. |
| 19:24:02 | 25 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. I have a |


| 19:24:03 | 1 | motion. Do I have a second? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:24:04 | 2 | MEMBER APPLEBY: I second. |
| 19:24:05 | 3 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: We have a motion and |
| 19:24:07 | 4 | a second. All those in favor say aye. |
| 19:24:12 | 5 | Aye. |
| 19:24:12 | 6 | MEMBER WENTZ: Aye. |
| 19:24:12 | 7 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: Aye. |
| 19:24:12 | 8 | MEMBER APPLEBY: Aye. |
| 19:24:12 | 9 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Opposed? |
| 19:24:13 | 10 | None. |
| 19:24:14 | 11 | And the motion carries. |
| 19:24:15 | 12 | ATTORNEY FREY: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19:24:16 | 13 | The next part of our request is that the |
| 19:24:21 | 14 | provisions of the sign ordinance, which are set forth |
| 19:24:25 | 15 | in Section 270-186, okay. They provide that you can't |
| 19:24:31 | 16 | have a sign on a roof. |
| 19:24:37 | 17 | Our request then is an interpretation |
| 19:24:40 | 18 | from this Board that those provisions do not apply to |
| 19:24:43 | 19 | what you just approved because you approved that and |
| 19:24:46 | 20 | authorized that use pursuant to Section 270-7. So that |
| 19:24:54 | 21 | would be our next request is that the provisions that |
| 19:24:56 | 22 | restrict the ability to put a sign on a roof don't |
| 19:24:59 | 23 | apply to this canopy roof that was approved by a |
| 19:25:07 | 24 | special exception under a different section. I know |
| 19:25:09 | 25 | that is a technical argument. |


| 19:25:14 | 1 | MEMBER WENTZ: That's a play on words. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:25:15 | 2 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: It is. |
| 19:25:16 | 3 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: You have to ask |
| 19:25:17 | 4 | yourself the question what was the purpose of that |
| 19:25:19 | 5 | ordinance, which says no signs on a roof. I guess the |
| 19:25:28 | 6 | township doesn't want Utz Potato Chip signs up on top |
| 19:25:34 | 7 | of the building or things like that. If that's the |
| 19:25:36 | 8 | purpose of the ordinance, does this canopy sign fall |
| 19:25:40 | 9 | under that somehow going to be objectionable for that |
| 19:25:44 | 10 | reason. |
| 19:25:45 | 11 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. Even though |
| 19:25:47 | 12 | it is the sign would be on a roof, it is not on the |
| 19:25:50 | 13 | roof roof. |
| 19:25:52 | 14 | MEMBER WENTZ: It is on the canopy. |
| 19:25:53 | 15 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: It is on the canopy. |
| 19:25:57 | 16 | MEMBER WENTZ: Keeping rain off the |
| 19:25:58 | 17 | people who are going into the front door. |
| 19:26:00 | 18 | ATTORNEY FREY: Right. And to make it a |
| 19:26:02 | 19 | little -- I don't know if this makes easier or more |
| 19:26:05 | 20 | challenging. If the interpretation were not granted |
| 19:26:11 | 21 | and the alternative we would be seeking a variance. |
| 19:26:13 | 22 | And the variance is that that provision would create an |
| 19:26:17 | 23 | unnecessary hardship because Number 1, when it was |
| 19:26:21 | 24 | written it didn't account for this type of use because |
| 19:26:23 | 25 | a canopy use wasn't accounted for. |


| 19:26:26 | 1 | And Number 2, that type of restriction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:26:29 | 2 | to her point earlier is to avoid having a sign up on |
| 19:26:33 | 3 | top of a roof that shines out over the property and |
| 19:26:36 | 4 | everyone can see it here. We are done below blocked by |
| 19:26:41 | 5 | the whole building. |
| 19:26:43 | 6 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. |
| 19:26:45 | 7 | ATTORNEY FREY: Whether you determine |
| 19:26:46 | 8 | that's not applicable because of the request that we |
| 19:26:48 | 9 | made here or you grant the variance, we ultimately |
| 19:26:51 | 10 | don't care. You know, we just need that approved to |
| 19:26:55 | 11 | put it there as requested. |
| 19:27:03 | 12 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: It is a technicality. |
| 19:27:06 | 13 | Marc, please make a note on the next zoning ordinance |
| 19:27:10 | 14 | make sure it covers every possible and every use |
| 19:27:12 | 15 | imaginable to human beings. |
| 19:27:14 | 16 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: We will |
| 19:27:15 | 17 | certainly do our best, sir. |
| 19:27:17 | 18 | MEMBER APPLEBY: In the ordinance here |
| 19:27:18 | 19 | it does not state building and then after that it does |
| 19:27:21 | 20 | say roof, which implies on the building a roof. It |
| 19:27:27 | 21 | applies the -- |
| 19:27:28 | 22 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: As the zoning |
| 19:27:30 | 23 | Officer, I would interpret the building roof not the |
| 19:27:32 | 24 | canopy roof. |
| 19:27:34 | 25 | MEMBER APPLEBY: Exactly. |


| 19:27:36 | 1 | MEMBER WENTZ: That's why I ask the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:27:37 | 2 | question. |
| 19:27:38 | 3 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: When you read it, any |
| 19:27:39 | 4 | sign attached to the building shall not be placed on |
| 19:27:41 | 5 | the roof. So, the inference that's the roof of that |
| 19:27:44 | 6 | building. So, I mean, I guess there is a couple of |
| 19:27:46 | 7 | ways you could interpret that. I agree with you. |
| 19:27:50 | 8 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: Yeah. |
| 19:27:54 | 9 | MEMBER WENTZ: If you bring people in |
| 19:27:55 | 10 | there for same-day surgery type of thing, which I think |
| 19:27:58 | 11 | you are going to do there, is that correct? |
| 19:28:00 | 12 | ATTORNEY FREY: Uh-hum. |
| 19:28:06 | 13 | MEMBER WENTZ: If a van or hospital type |
| 19:28:08 | 14 | vehicle comes in there and you have a litter, you want |
| 19:28:13 | 15 | them to be out of the weather to get them in the door |
| 19:28:14 | 16 | as quickly without being rained on or snowed on or |
| 19:28:18 | 17 | whatever. I think it is more canopy roof and somebody |
| 19:28:23 | 18 | used the term play on words. |
| 19:28:25 | 19 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. |
| 19:28:27 | 20 | ATTORNEY FREY: It is not structural. |
| 19:28:29 | 21 | It is there to keep you out of the elements. |
| 19:28:31 | 22 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Any other questions |
| 19:28:32 | 23 | regarding variance on the canopy sign location? |
| 19:28:37 | 24 | Is there anyone in the audience who |
| 19:28:39 | 25 | would wish to comment or question the Applicant on the |


| 19:28:46 | 1 | issue of the canopy sign location, which is what we are |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:28:49 | 2 | talking about now for the variance? |
| 19:28:51 | 3 | Okay. I will entertain a motion |
| 19:29:00 | 4 | regarding the canopy sign location. |
| 19:29:07 | 5 | MEMBER APPLEBY: I make a favorable |
| 19:29:08 | 6 | recommendation. |
| 19:29:11 | 7 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: I'll second it. |
| 19:29:12 | 8 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. We have a |
| 19:29:13 | 9 | motion and a second. All those in favor say, aye. |
| 19:29:13 | 10 | Aye. |
| 19:29:18 | 11 | MEMBER WENTZ: Aye. |
| 19:29:18 | 12 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: Aye. |
| 19:29:18 | 13 | MEMBER APPLEBY: Aye. |
| 19:29:20 | 14 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Opposed? |
| 19:29:21 | 15 | None. |
| 19:29:22 | 16 | Okay. Now, you have the canopy use and |
| 19:29:24 | 17 | the canopy sign location. We are getting there. |
| 19:29:26 | 18 | ATTORNEY FREY: I think the canopy sign |
| 19:29:29 | 19 | is okay now. I think we are good with that one. Now |
| 19:29:30 | 20 | we move on to our building information panel. And |
| 19:29:34 | 21 | again, the building information panel is shown there |
| 19:29:38 | 22 | and I believe it is in your drawings that you have in |
| 19:29:40 | 23 | your packet as well. |
| 19:29:42 | 24 | And the information that is on that |
| 19:29:47 | 25 | building information panel is to assist people that are |


| 19:29:50 | 1 | entering the building to provide information about |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:29:53 | 2 | what's going on in the building. Not as advertisement |
| 19:30:01 | 3 | and not promotional materials. |
| 19:30:03 | 4 | There is two issues and two variances we |
| 19:30:07 | 5 | are seeking. |
| 19:30:07 | 6 | Number 1, the ordinance allows only one |
| 19:30:10 | 7 | window sign. So, this is actually our second window |
| 19:30:13 | 8 | sign. If you go back to the prior drawing here, we |
| 19:30:16 | 9 | have Mr. Stough is showing on what is the drawing that |
| 19:30:22 | 10 | shows the entire building. |
| 19:30:28 | 11 | Number 8 is where our building |
| 19:30:30 | 12 | information panel is and there are other signs -- or |
| 19:30:37 | 13 | there are another window sign there as well. |
| 19:30:41 | 14 | Do we have the detail of that window |
| 19:30:41 | 15 | sign? |
| 19:30:45 | 16 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Right there. |
| 19:30:46 | 17 | ATTORNEY FREY: So, these down here. |
| 19:30:47 | 18 | So, in order to get the -- there is a lot of |
| 19:30:51 | 19 | information that needs to be provided. And, |
| 19:30:54 | 20 | accordingly, there is more than one sign there that |
| 19:30:58 | 21 | will be on the windows. So, we require a second window |
| 19:31:01 | 22 | sign. That's the first request. |
| 19:31:03 | 23 | The second request is that the size of |
| 19:31:08 | 24 | the sign and the information that is there. Mr. |
| 19:31:13 | 25 | Stough, can you testify what is the size of the sign |


| 19:31:16 | 1 | there? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:31:17 | 2 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: The width is 1 |
| 19:31:20 | 3 | foot, 4 inches and the height 2 feet, 9 inches. On |
| 19:31:24 | 4 | your paper it says 20X. So, that's 2 feet, 9 inches. |
| 19:31:31 | 5 | That's the height. And again, these plans were done in |
| 19:31:34 | 6 | December. |
| 19:31:36 | 7 | ATTORNEY FREY: What's the square |
| 19:31:38 | 8 | footage? |
| 19:32:04 | 9 | So, those dimensions exceed what it is |
| 19:32:06 | 10 | allowed. The ordinance has a square footage permitted |
| 19:32:11 | 11 | would be 2 square feet. So, this is larger than that. |
| 19:32:16 | 12 | And then the ordinance also has it only |
| 19:32:20 | 13 | 20 percent of the window area can be covered with a |
| 19:32:22 | 14 | sign. And we are going to exceed that as well based on |
| 19:32:29 | 15 | the information that -- I'm trying to see. You don't |
| 19:32:36 | 16 | have the -- does this have the updated information? |
| 19:32:44 | 17 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: That drawing is on |
| 19:32:46 | 18 | the wall there. Not glass. There is two walls on it |
| 19:32:50 | 19 | instead of two windows. |
| 19:32:54 | 20 | ATTORNEY FREY: That was changed, too. |
| 19:32:56 | 21 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Yeah, this one and |
| 19:33:04 | 22 | that one. |
| 19:33:05 | 23 | ATTORNEY FREY: Okay. Mr. Stough |
| 19:33:13 | 24 | informs me that our sign is actually now as it turns |
| 19:33:16 | 25 | out on a wall not on the window. This building |


| 19:33:21 | 1 | information panel. So, now we have two wall signs not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:33:25 | 2 | two window signs and would need approval to have the |
| 19:33:30 | 3 | second window sign -- or wall sign. |
| 19:33:35 | 4 | Thank you. Rather than a second window |
| 19:33:37 | 5 | sign. |
| 19:33:39 | 6 | MEMBER WENTZ: So, they are not on the |
| 19:33:41 | 7 | window. They are on the wall. |
| 19:33:44 | 8 | ATTORNEY FREY: On the wall outside. |
| 19:33:47 | 9 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: These panels look |
| 19:33:48 | 10 | like door panels, but it is not. It is a wall. It |
| 19:33:53 | 11 | almost looks like a door opens, but it is a wall. |
| 19:33:56 | 12 | MEMBER WENTZ: The doors are in the |
| 19:33:57 | 13 | center opens and closes. |
| 19:33:57 | 14 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: Correct. They have |
| 19:34:00 | 15 | the window signs on them. This is your other wall sign |
| 19:34:00 | 16 | here. UPMC. And this would be a wall here and the |
| 19:34:04 | 17 | wall panel on the side. |
| 19:34:05 | 18 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: Two wall signs and not |
| 19:34:09 | 19 | any window sign? |
| 19:34:11 | 20 | MEMBER WENTZ: I think this is what he |
| 19:34:13 | 21 | is talking about. |
| 19:34:15 | 22 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: This would be this? |
| 19:34:17 | 23 | MEMBER WENTZ: Correct. |
| 19:34:17 | 24 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Yes, okay. |
| 19:34:19 | 25 | ATTORNEY FREY: So, we would need to |


| 19:34:20 | 1 | amend our application to allow for the second wall sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:34:24 | 2 | rather than window sign. |
| 19:34:26 | 3 | ATTORNEY KALASNIK: Yes, you could do |
| 19:34:27 | 4 | that and risk technically that wasn't advertised and |
| 19:34:37 | 5 | someone could after the fact say well - |
| 19:34:44 | 6 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: We could put a |
| 19:34:46 | 7 | piece of window behind it and mount it on that wall. |
| 19:34:49 | 8 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Now, you know who you |
| 19:34:52 | 9 | sound like now? The old Jeff. |
| 19:34:55 | 10 | MEMBER WENTZ: You don't want anybody |
| 19:34:56 | 11 | walking into that and breaking their nose. |
| 19:35:26 | 12 | ATTORNEY FREY: Okay. So then back to |
| 19:35:27 | 13 | where we started here. We are requesting two wall |
| 19:35:31 | 14 | signs and then the wall signs will exceed the -- we |
| 19:35:36 | 15 | will need a variance to allow the wall sign in the size |
| 19:35:39 | 16 | that Mr. Stough just testified and to allow the wall |
| 19:35:46 | 17 | signs to exceed -- well, now do you have -- I'm not |
| 19:35:53 | 18 | sure if we need the second part. |
| 19:35:58 | 19 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Can we do that on one |
| 19:36:00 | 20 | variance? |
| 19:36:01 | 21 | ATTORNEY FREY: Bear with me. |
| 19:36:46 | 22 | It looks like going from a window to a |
| 19:36:50 | 23 | wall we have addressed a couple of things. |
| 19:36:51 | 24 | We now have the size appears to be okay. |
| 19:37:02 | 25 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: That's what I |


| 19:37:03 | 1 | thought. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:37:04 | 2 | ATTORNEY FREY: We are definitely clear |
| 19:37:05 | 3 | with that wall then with what we have. So, you said it |
| 19:37:08 | 4 | is about less than 4 square feet, right? |
| 19:37:14 | 5 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: You are allow |
| 19:37:15 | 6 | one square foot per foot of the exterior wall which the |
| 19:37:18 | 7 | sign is attached the maximum of 50. |
| 19:37:21 | 8 | ATTORNEY FREY: So, I think we are good |
| 19:37:22 | 9 | there. We have more than 4 feet of square feet on. I |
| 19:37:27 | 10 | think we are all right with that. |
| 19:37:29 | 11 | I think now all we are looking at is the |
| 19:37:31 | 12 | variance to allow two wall signs. |
| 19:37:38 | 13 | So, with all of that said and circling |
| 19:37:41 | 14 | around there our variance request would be for a second |
| 19:37:44 | 15 | wall sign. Again, just like with the canopy sign, |
| 19:37:48 | 16 | these signs are for informational purposes. They are |
| 19:37:50 | 17 | designed to provide public benefit actually and to make |
| 19:37:55 | 18 | sure that the signage at the building is adequate. |
| 19:37:59 | 19 | So that, as the Chairman indicated |
| 19:38:02 | 20 | earlier, some other facilities where it may not be |
| 19:38:05 | 21 | adequate. Here we have signage so everyone knows where |
| 19:38:09 | 22 | to go, what the rules are before they get into the |
| 19:38:12 | 23 | building, and everything is clearly marked. |
| 19:38:15 | 24 | We would request a variance then to |
| 19:38:17 | 25 | allow a second wall sign. |


| 19:38:19 | 1 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. And the two |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:38:20 | 2 | wall signs specifically would be the information sign? |
| 19:38:27 | 3 | ATTORNEY FREY: And then the UPMC sign. |
| 19:38:30 | 4 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: And then the UPMC |
| 19:38:31 | 5 | sign. Okay. |
| 19:38:32 | 6 | MEMBER WENTZ: That would be on the |
| 19:38:33 | 7 | left? |
| 19:38:33 | 8 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Right. Right. |
| 19:38:47 | 9 | MEMBER WENTZ: Just be sure the two |
| 19:38:48 | 10 | doors in the center is marked exit and entrance. |
| 19:38:53 | 11 | ATTORNEY FREY: I don't know if it is an |
| 19:38:53 | 12 | entrance or exit or double door. I don't know a |
| 19:38:57 | 13 | button. |
| 19:38:57 | 14 | MEMBER WENTZ: They would have a button |
| 19:38:59 | 15 | to push on it from the outside to get in and on the |
| 19:39:02 | 16 | inside. You need to be careful they are marked that |
| 19:39:06 | 17 | the door comes toward you or away from you. That's |
| 19:39:09 | 18 | where you will get in trouble. |
| 19:39:12 | 19 | MR. JEFFREY STOUGH: We may not need |
| 19:39:14 | 20 | another ordinance because the size requirement of the |
| 19:39:16 | 21 | window sign is very small and I would request the Board |
| 19:39:22 | 22 | to review the sign ordinance. It is very dated and it |
| 19:39:25 | 23 | is not adequate to other townships I have been at. So, |
| 19:39:28 | 24 | it is very complicated. This is probably the 5th |
| 19:39:32 | 25 | meeting we met with Marc. |


| 19:39:33 | 1 | MEMBER WENTZ: That's why I said it is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:39:35 | 2 | important be marked they are entrance door and exit. |
| 19:39:43 | 3 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Does the Board have |
| 19:39:44 | 4 | any other questions regarding this variance request the |
| 19:39:47 | 5 | two window signs? |
| 19:39:51 | 6 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: Wall. |
| 19:39:52 | 7 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: I am sorry, the wall |
| 19:39:54 | 8 | signs? |
| 19:39:54 | 9 | Is there anyone in the audience who |
| 19:39:58 | 10 | would wish to comment or inquire or ask any questions |
| 19:40:02 | 11 | of the Applicant regarding these two wall signs? |
| 19:40:04 | 12 | Then if not, I'll entertain a motion. |
| 19:40:13 | 13 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: I make a motion to |
| 19:40:14 | 14 | approve the ordinance for the two wall signs. |
| 19:40:18 | 15 | MEMBER APPLEBY: I'll second that. |
| 19:40:19 | 16 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Okay. We have a |
| 19:40:20 | 17 | motion and a second. All those in favor say, aye. |
| 19:40:20 | 18 | Aye. |
| 19:40:20 | 19 | MEMBER WENTZ: Aye. |
| 19:40:20 | 20 | MEMBER ZUMBRUM: Aye. |
| 19:40:20 | 21 | MEMBER APPLEBY: Aye. |
| 19:40:25 | 22 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: Opposed? |
| 19:40:26 | 23 | None. The motion is granted. |
| 19:40:28 | 24 | ATTORNEY FREY: All right. Thank you. |
| 19:40:30 | 25 | I think that's it. |


| 19:40:34 | 1 | CHAIRMAN GARVICK: That's enough. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:40:36 | 2 | ATTORNEY FREY: That simple. All of |
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| 19:41:36 | 12 | when it comes to certain uses for signs. There is a |
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| 19:41:42 | 14 | is an undertaken by the Zoning Hearing Board would want |
| 19:41:45 | 15 | to review the sign ordinance, we certainly would be |
| 19:41:47 | 16 | open for that. |
| 19:41:49 | 17 | MEMBER WENTZ: Are you up to date with |
| 19:41:50 | 18 | the rest of the ordinance? |
| 19:41:52 | 19 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: I think the |
| 19:41:54 | 20 | rest of the ordinance we can deal with it. |
| 19:41:57 | 21 | MEMBER WENTZ: If we are working on |
| 19:41:59 | 22 | something, we have a timetable. |
| 19:42:00 | 23 | ZONING OFFICER WOERNER: I think the |
| 19:42:01 | 24 | sign ordinance is probably the most problematic part of |
| 19:42:06 | 25 | the ordinance overall. |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { 21:22, 22:8, 22:12, } \\ \text { 23:2, 24:4, 24:23, } \\ \text { 25:1, 25:4, 25:17, } \\ 25: 18,26: 7,26: 8, \\ 26: 13,26: 15,26: 20, \\ 26: 22,26: 24,26: 25, \\ 27: 14,27: 24,28: 3, \\ 28: 5,28: 15,28: 19, \\ 29: 1,29: 2,29: 15, \\ 30: 7,30: 15,30: 25, \\ 31: 2,31: 3,31: 5, \\ 31: 21,31: 22,33: 13, \\ 33: 15,33: 24 \\ \text { signage }[3]-5: 14, \\ 30: 18,30: 21 \\ \text { signs }[32]-3: 3,3: 6, \\ 5: 14,6: 5,7: 12,7: 14, \\ 7: 17,8: 11,12: 12, \\ 17: 4,17: 16,22: 5, \\ 22: 6,26: 12,28: 1, \\ 28: 2,28: 15,28: 18, \\ 29: 14,29: 17,30: 12, \\ 30: 16,31: 2,32: 5, \\ 32: 8,32: 11,32: 14, \\ 33: 3,33: 12 \\ \text { similar }[3]-7: 5,8: 7, \\ 8: 10 \\ \text { simple }[1]-33: 2 \\ \text { sit }[1]-14: 24 \\ \text { sits }[2]-5: 21,8: 9 \\ \text { size } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { square }[7]-7: 13, \\ & 27: 7,27: 10,27: 11, \\ & 30: 4,30: 6,30: 9 \\ & \text { staff }[1]-6: 24 \\ & \text { standards }[3]-8: 16, \\ & 9: 18,9: 19 \\ & \text { started }[1]-29: 13 \\ & \text { state }[1]-23: 19 \\ & \text { states }[1]-13: 7 \\ & \text { stenographer }[3]- \\ & 4: 10,4: 25,19: 2 \\ & \text { sticking }[1]-17: 5 \\ & \text { Stough }[8]-3: 19, \\ & 4: 13,9: 4,12: 4,26: 9, \\ & 26: 25,27: 23,29: 16 \\ & \text { sTouGH }[23]-4: 4, \\ & 4: 13,4: 20,9: 8,9: 11, \\ & 9: 16,16: 10,16: 15, \\ & 16: 22,17: 2,17: 8, \\ & 17: 11,17: 17,17: 23, \\ & 26: 16,27: 2,27: 17, \\ & 27: 21,28: 9,28: 14, \\ & 29: 6,29: 25,31: 19 \\ & \text { Stough's }[1]-33: 10 \\ & \text { Street }[1]-4: 14 \\ & \text { structural }[2]-8: 24, \\ & 24: 20 \\ & \text { structures }[1]-8: 12 \\ & \text { subject }[1]-10: 23 \\ & \text { submitted }[1]-17: 21 \\ & \text { substantially }[1]- \\ & 10: 22 \\ & \text { Sunset }[1]-19: 4 \\ & \text { supposed }[2]-6: 15, \\ & 16: 16 \\ & \text { surgery }[1]-24: 10 \\ & \text { surrounding }[3]- \\ & 10: 9,10: 13,11: 2 \\ & \text { surveillance }[1]-6: 14 \\ & \text { swear }[1]-3: 21 \\ & \text { sworn }[4]-4: 1,4: 6, \\ & 18: 14,18: 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```third [1] - 10:21 three [1] - 15:23 threshold [2]-15:15, 15:17 timetable [1] - 33:22 today [1] -6:8 tonight [3]-2:7, 3:11, 20:21 top [5] - 3:3, 19:20, 19:23, 22:6, 23:3 total [1] - 8:21 toward [1] - 31:17 township [2]-6:24, 22:6 TOWNSHIP [2] - 1:1, 1:4 Township [4]-1:9, 2:5, 2:21, 3:9 townships [1] - 31:23 traffic [2] - 7:24, 10:19 transcript [1] - 35:6 TRANSCRIPT \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:20 trouble [1]-31:18 try \({ }_{[1]}\) - 5:11 trying [6] - 6:12, 13:22, 15:24, 16:2, 19:14, 27:15 Tuesday \([1]\) - 1:8 TUESDAY [1] - 2:1 turns [1] - 27:24 two [19]-4:21, 5:14, 15:23, 16:11, 26:4, 27:18, 27:19, 28:1, 28:2, 28:18, 29:13, 30:12, 31:1, 31:9, 32:5, 32:11, 32:14, 33:3 type \([7]-6: 22,7: 18\), 11:5, 22:24, 23:1, 24:10, 24:13 typical \({ }_{[1]}-10: 25\) typically [1] - 11:21```$\mathbf{U}$ <br> uh-hum $[1]-24: 12$ <br> ultimately $[1]-23: 9$ <br> under $[7]-7: 1,7: 19$, <br> 13:6, 13:15, 13:23, <br> 21:24, 22:9 <br> undertaken $[1]-33: 14$ <br> unnecessary $[2]-$ <br> $12: 24,22: 23$ <br> up $[10]-4: 15,13: 23$, <br> $16: 7,16: 10,17: 1$, <br> 17:5, 18:14, 22:6, <br> $23: 2,33: 17$ <br> updated $[1]-27: 16$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UPMC }[4]-5: 10, \\ \text { 28:16, 31:3, 31:4 } \\ \text { uses }[9]-2: 22,7: 5, \\ 7: 10,7: 17,8: 6,8: 10, \\ 9: 19,33: 12 \\ \text { Utz }[1]-22: 6 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { width }[1]-27: 2 \\ & \text { willing }[1]-12: 2 \\ & \text { window }[19]-3: 2, \\ & 26: 7,26: 13,26: 14, \\ & \text { 26:21, 27:13, 27:25, } \\ & \text { 28:2, 28:3, 28:4, } \\ & \text { 28:7, 28:15, 28:19, } \\ & \text { 29:2, 29:7, 29:22, } \\ & 31: 21,32: 5 \\ & \text { windows }[2]-26: 21, \\ & \text { 27:19 } \\ & \text { wish }[2]-24: 25,32: 10 \\ & \text { wishes }[1]-18: 11 \\ & \text { witness }[3]-3: 25,4: 5, \\ & 18: 22 \\ & \text { woERNER }[10]-2: 18, \\ & 20: 3,23: 16,23: 22, \\ & 24: 8,30: 5,32: 6, \\ & 33: 9,33: 19,33: 23 \\ & \text { words }[3]-10: 2,22: 1, \\ & 24: 18 \\ & \text { worthwhile }[1]-15: 18 \\ & \text { written }[1]-22: 24 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \quad \text { X } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

